RTI REQUEST DETAILS

Registration No. :	IIFCL/R/E/25/00089	**Date of Receipt :**	29/07/2025
Type of Receipt :	Online Receipt	**Language of Request :**	English
Name :	Jayant Gurawa	**Gender :**	Male
Address :	Flat No 103A, Mistry Apartments,, Andheri East, Mumbai, Pin:400069		
State :	Maharashtra	**Country :**	India
Phone No. :	+91-9871503571	**Mobile No. :**	+91-9871503571
Email :	jaygurawa@gmail.com		
Status(Rural/Urban) :	Urban	**Education Status :**	Graduate
Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? :	No	**Citizenship Status**	Indian
Amount Paid :	10)	**Mode of Payment**	Payment Gateway
Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person ? :	No(Normal)	**Request Pertains to :**	

Information Sought :

Sir/Madam,

I, JAYANT GURAWA, with Roll Number: 2410000067 and Registration Number: 576004548, was a candidate for the post of Assistant Manager (Grade A) for which the written examination was held on 25.01.2025. In connection with this recruitment process, please provide the following information under the RTI Act, 2005:

My Interview Marks: The total marks awarded to me in the personal interview.

Final Cut-off Marks: The category-wise final cut-off marks (Total Marks of Written Exam and Interview) for General/UR, EWS, OBC, SC, ST, and PwBD categories.

Marks of Selected Candidates: A consolidated, category-wise list of all finally selected candidates, detailing their marks in the a) Written Examination, b) Interview, and c) Grand Total.

Experience of Selected Candidates: For each of the finally selected candidates, please provide the total duration of post-qualification work experience (in years and months) that was officially considered and verified by IIFCL to meet the mandatory eligibility criteria for the post as stipulated in the recruitment advertisement.

Submissions in Support of Information Requested in Points 3 and 4:

The information sought is in the larger public interest of ensuring transparency, fairness, and non-arbitrariness in public employment.

On Disclosure of Marks (Point 3): The disclosure of marks is mandated by the public interest in transparent recruitment, as affirmed by the Honorable Supreme Court in cases like Bihar Public Service Commission vs Saiyed Hussain Abbas Rizwi (2012) and PIO vs Onkar

	Dattatray Kalmankar (Appeal (C) No. 2783/2025). This information is not an unwarranted invasion of privacy under Section 8(1)(j). On Disclosure of Experience (Point 4): The recruitment advertisement stipulated a minimum of one year of experience, making it a material eligibility criterion for this public post. The information on the experience of selected candidates is therefore not extraneous personal data but is directly related to a public activity-verifying that the selection criteria were applied fairly and without prejudice. There is a compelling public interest in verifying that the selection process was not arbitrary and did not penalize candidates with higher experience. To balance privacy, the request is limited to the duration of experience only, not the names of employers or other private details. Disclosure of this specific data point is essential to scrutinize the fairness of the interview and selection process. Given that the requested information is crucial for upholding transparency and accountability, it is not exempt under Sections 8(1)(j) or 8(1)(e) of the RTI Act.

Print Save Close